

Should XM be curtailed from customizing it's content to protect an ancient technology ?That is Luddite thinking, and it would be a real shame if the government does this

Should a metropolitan area FM station be curtailed from talking about what goes on in any one of the particular neighborhoods of a metro area ? No of course not.

So why should a National signal (XM) be curtailed to talk about anything that goes on in part of it's territory (any US city) ?

Competition is the best of the American Way. Let the market sort it out.

Let XM Satellite Radio and Sirius develop their technology as fully as possible

Subscription satellite radio won't kill free terrestrial radio, just as cable television has not killed free on-air TV channels.

The granularity of the audience addressed should not be the restricted.

These artificial limits that the NAB wants to saddle on satellite radio are only self-serving self-protecting reactions that give no benefit to the general public. How would the general public be better served by less choice, not more.

Let me get my local info and traffic information from wherever I want. Free off the air, or by subscription, of the satellite Let all the radio outlets, terrestrial and satellite compete on the merits of their programming and the quality of their local content. Let the market sort it out.

It's in public's best interest to let XM localize their content, if XM can do it on their own satellite signal.